S I d e 1	Ovine Epididymitis: Brucella ovis	
S I d e 2	Overview • Organism • History • Epidemiology • Transmission • Disease in Animals • Prevention and Control • Actions to Take	In today's presentation we will cover information regarding the organism that causes ovine epididymitis and its epidemiology. We will also talk about the history of the disease, how it is transmitted, species that it affects, and clinical and necropsy signs observed. Finally, we will address prevention and control measures for ovine epididymitis, as well as actions to take if ovine epididymitis is suspected. [Photo: Sheep. Source: US Department of Agriculture]
S I d e 3	THE ORGANISM	
S I d e 4	The Organism • Brucella ovis • Gram negative coccobacillus • Facultative intracellular pathogen • Persists in the environment	 Ovine epididymitis is caused by <i>Brucella ovis</i>, a Gram-negative coccobacillus or short rod. This organism is a facultative intracellular pathogen. <i>Brucella</i> spp. can persist in the environment invariably depending on temperature, pH, and humidity. [Photo: Micrograph of <i>Brucella</i> organisms. <i>Brucella</i> spp. are gram-negative in their staining morphology. <i>Brucella</i> spp. are poorly staining, small gram-negative coccobacilli (0.5-0.7 x 0.6-1.5 µm), and are seen mostly as single cells and appearing like "fine sand". Source: CDC Public Health Image Library #1901]
S I d e 5	The Many Names of BrucellosisHuman DiseaseAnimal Disease• Malta Fever• Bang's Disease• Undulant Fever• Bang's Disease• Mediterranean Fever• Epizootic Abortion• Rock Fever of Gibraltar• Slinking of Calves• Gastric Fever• Contagious Abortion	Due to its illustrious history, brucellosis has many different names. The disease is commonly known as undulant or Malta fever in humans and Bang's disease in animals.



seamen in the Mediterranean. It is thought that Malta became such an important center for the study of undulant fever because many British troops were sent there to recuperate following the Crimean War (1853-1856). The microorganism responsible for Malta fever was discovered by a British Army physician, Sir David Bruce, on July 9, 1887, which he called Micrococcus melitensis. It was isolated from the spleen of a British soldier who had died of the disease. He later established goats as the main reservoir for infection by identifying the organism in their blood, urine, and milk. A Danish physician and veterinarian, Bernhard Bang discovered Bacterium abortus in 1897 while investigating contagious abortion that had been affecting cattle in Denmark for over a century. He also discovered the organism affected horses, sheep, and goats. Thus the disease became known as "Bang's disease". The connection between animals and humans was discovered by Alice Evans, an American bacteriologist in the 1920s.

S I d e 8	 History of <i>B. ovis</i> First described in the 1950s in New Zealand and Australia Aborting ewes Epididymitis Initially considered a mutant of <i>Brucella melitensis</i> 	 Brucella ovis was first described in the 1950s in New Zealand and Australia; it was isolated from aborting ewes and rams with epididymitis. Although it was initially considered a mutant form of <i>B. melitensis</i>, it was later recognized as a separate species. However, some microbiologists have proposed that the genus be <i>Brucella</i> be reclassified into a single species (<i>B. melitensis</i>), which contains many biovars. Source: Animal brucellosis. Klaus Nielsen and J. Robert Duncan. 1990. [Photo: Map of Australia and New Zealand. Source: Wikimedia Commons at http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Map_of_Australia_and_New_Ze aland_coloured.png]
S		

S	
I.	
i	
d	
е	EPIDEMIOLOGY
9	

S I d e 1 0	Geographic Distribution • Most sheep-raising regions –Australia –New Zealand –North America –South America –South Africa –Many European countries	<i>B. ovis</i> probably occurs in most sheep-raising regions of the world. It has been reported from Australia, New Zealand, North and South America, South Africa, and many countries in Europe.
S I d e 1	Species Affected • Natural infection - Sheep - Farmed red deer • Experimental infection - Goats - Cattle	 <i>B. ovis</i> infects sheep, as well as farmed red deer (<i>Odocoileus virginianus</i>) in New Zealand. Experimental infections have been reported in goats and cattle, but there is no evidence that these species are infected in nature. [Photos: (Top) Sheep. Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture; (Bottom) Red deer. Source: Bill Ebbesen/Wikimedia Commons]
S I d e 1 2	TRANSMISSION	
S I d e 1 3	Transmission • Transmission in sheep - Venereal transmission • Ewes act as mechanical vectors - Direct contact • Ram-to-ram - Fomite spread • Red deer - Venereal, nasal, direct contact	<i>B. ovis</i> is often transmitted from ram to ram by passive venereal transmission via ewes. Ewes can carry this organism in the vagina for at least two months and act as mechanical vectors. Some ewes become infected, and shed <i>B. ovis</i> in vaginal discharges and milk. Rams often become persistently infected, and many of these animals shed <i>B ovis</i> intermittently in the semen for 2 to 4 years or longer. <i>B. ovis</i> can also be transmitted by direct non-venereal contact between rams. Ram-to-ram transmission is poorly understood and may occur by a variety of routes, including oral transmission. Shedding has been demonstrated in the urine as well as in semen and genital secretions. Red deer can be infected by venereal transmission, direct contact between infected stags, and experimentally by the intravenous, conjunctival, nasal and rectal routes. Contamination of pastures does not seem to be an important method of transmission for <i>B. ovis</i> .

S I d e	DISEASE IN HUMANS	Unlike most other species of <i>Brucella</i> , <i>B. ovis</i> is not known to infect humans.
1 4	<i>B. ovis</i> does not infect humans.	
S I d e 1	DISEASE IN ANIMALS	
5 S I	Clinical Signs	The incubation period for <i>B. ovis</i> appears to be 3 to 8 weeks. <i>B. ovis</i> can cause epididymitis, orchitis and impaired fertility in rams. Initially, only

The incubation period for *B. ovis* appears to be 3 to 8 weeks. *B. ovis* can cause epididymitis, orchitis and impaired fertility in rams. Initially, only poor quality semen may be seen; sperm motility and concentration may be decreased, and individual sperm are often abnormal. Later, palpable lesions may occur in the epididymis and scrotum. Epididymitis may be unilateral or, occasionally, bilateral. The testes may atrophy. Palpable lesions are often permanent, although they are transient in a few cases. Some rams shed *B. ovis* for long periods without clinically apparent lesions. *B. ovis* can also cause abortions and placentitis in ewes, but this appears to be uncommon. Infected ewes may give birth to weak lambs that die soon after birth. Systemic signs are rare in adult ewes and rams. *B. ovis* can cause poor semen quality in red deer stags, but abortions have not been reported in hinds.

[Photo: Lambs. Source: Pam Zaabel/CFSPH]



i

d

е

1

6

Post Mortem Lesions

Incubation period: 3 to 8 weeks

Reproductive signs

impaired fertility

rare in adult sheep

Systemic signs

– Epididymitis, orchitis,

 Abortion, placentitis, weak lambs

- Lesions found primarily in rams

 Epididymis
 Tunica vaginalis
 Testis
- Lesions found in ewes
- Placentitis



Lesions are mainly found in the epididymis, tunica vaginalis and testis in rams. The lesions vary from a slight enlargement of the epididymis to large indurations. Epididymal enlargement can be unilateral or bilateral, and the tail is affected more often than the head or body. Spermatoceles containing partially inspissated spermatic fluid may be found in the epididymis. Fibrous atrophy can occur in the testis. The tunica vaginalis is often thickened and fibrous, and can have extensive adhesions. Placentitis may be observed in ewes.

[Photo: (Top) Enlarged testis. Source: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; (Bottom) Sheep, testis (bisected). The epididymis is markedly enlarged and contains bands of fibrous tissue (chronic epididymitis). In this case, the testis itself is relatively unaffected. Source: Armed Forces Institute of Pathology/CFSPH]



S Laboratory Diagnosis i • Microscopic examination d • Culture and identification

- e Phage typing - Biochemical and serological characteristics 2 PFGE, PCR
- Serology 1 – ELISA, /
 - ELISA, AGID, complement fixation

Microscopic examination of semen or smears stained with the Stamp's modification of the Ziehl-Neelsen method can be useful for a presumptive diagnosis. A definitive diagnosis can be made if B. ovis is cultured from an animal. Brucella spp. can be isolated on a variety of plain media, or selective media such as Farrell's medium or Thayer-Martin's modified medium. Enrichment techniques can also be used. B. ovis colonies usually become visible after three to four days. B. ovis can often be identified to the species level by its cultural, biochemical and serological characteristics, although phage typing can be used for definitive identification. Pulse-field gel electrophoresis or specific polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR RFLP) can also distinguish B. ovis from other Brucella species. Serological tests used to detect B. ovis include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), agar gel immunodiffusion (AGID) and complement fixation Dichelobacter nodosus, which causes foot rot, is reported to cross-react with B. ovis in serological assays, but the practical significance is unknown.

S I d e 2 2	PREVENTION AND CONTROL	
S		B. ovis occurs in the U.S. State authorities should be consulted for
Ι	Recommended Actions	reporting requirements in each state.
i	Notification of authorities	
d	 Federal Area Veterinarian in Charge (AVIC) 	
e	http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/area_ offices/ – State veterinarian	
2	http://www.aphis.usda.gov/emergency_response /downloads/nahems/fad.pdf	
3		
S	Prevention and Control	<i>B. ovis</i> is generally introduced into a flock by infected animals or semen.
1		breeding season and culling rams with palpable abnormalities. However
l d	 Examine, test, cull Vaccine available in some areas 	palpable lesions are not found in all infected rams and laboratory testing
u A	- Vaccination not practiced in the U.S.	of rams should also be considered. In some areas, <i>Bovis</i> -free accredited
C	Treatment Antibiotics Net usually accommissible fassible	flocks and rams may be available. A commercial killed <i>B. ovis</i> vaccine is used in New Zealand. In other countries, weaper rams may be vaccinated
2		with the <i>B. melitensis</i> Rev-1 vaccine. Vaccination is not practiced in the
4		U.S. Antibiotic treatment has been used successfully in some valuable
		rams, but it is usually not economically feasible for most animals. Fertility
		may remain low even if the organism is eliminated. Infections in ewes are
		generally prevented by controlling infections in rams.
S		<i>Brucella</i> species are readily killed by most commonly available
I	Prevention and Control	disinfectants including hypochlorite solutions, 70% ethanol, isopropanol.
i	Readily killed by most disinfectants	iodophores, phenolic disinfectants, formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde and
d	- Hypochlorite	xylene; however, organic matter and low temperatures decrease the
е	- 70% ethanol - Isopropanol - Iodophores	efficacy of disinfectants. Alkyl quaternary ammonium compounds are not recommended. Autoclaving [moist heat of 121°C (250°F) for at least 15
2	- Phenolics	minutes] can be used to destroy <i>Brucella</i> species on contaminated
۲ ۲	 Formaldehyde/glutaraldehyde Quaternary ammonium compounds 	equipment.
J	not recommended	
		[Photo: Disinfection bottles, Source: Dani Ausen/CFSPH]

[Photo: Disinfection bottles. Source: Dani Ausen/CFSPH]

S I i d e	Additional Resources Center for Food Security and Public Health www.cfsph.iastate.edu 	
2 6		
	Center for Pool Security and Public Health, Ioan State University, 2012	
-		
S		Last updated: January 2012
S I	Acknowledgments	Last updated: January 2012
S I i	Acknowledgments	Last updated: January 2012
S I i d	Acknowledgments Development of this presentation was made possible through grants provided to the Center for Food Security and Public Health at Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine from	Last updated: January 2012
S I d e	Acknowledgments Development of this presentation was made possible through grants provided to the Center for Food Security and Public Health at Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Iowa Homeland Security and Emergency Management Division and the	Last updated: January 2012
S I d e 2	Acknowledgments Development of this presentation was made possible through grants provided to the Center for Food Security and Public Health at Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Iowa Homeland Security and Emergency Management Division, and the Multi-State Partnership for Security in Agriculture.	Last updated: January 2012
S I d e 2 7	Acknowledgments Bevelopment of this presentation was made possible through grants provided to the Center for Food Security and Public Health at Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention the Advance Medical Security and Security Medical Security and Security and Security Medical Security and Security and Advance Medical Security and Security and Security and Medical Security and Security and Medical Security and Security and Security and Medical Security and Security and Security and Medical Security and Security and Se	Last updated: January 2012