Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus and R. australis

Cattle Tick, Southern Cattle Tick, Southern Cattle Fever Tick, Asian Blue Tick

Last Updated: June 2022



The Center for Food Security & Public Health



INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN ANIMAL BIOLOGICS

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY College of Veterinary Medicine



World Organisation for Animal Health Founded as OIE



Importance

Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus (formerly *Boophilus microplus*) is an important livestock tick in tropical and subtropical regions. Although cattle and other bovids are its primary hosts, it can be found on other domestic animals and wildlife, and goats might be a maintenance host in some locations. Heavy tick burdens can cause anemia, decrease livestock productivity and damage hides. *R. microplus* can also transmit several pathogens including *Babesia bigemina* and *Babesia bovis* (bovine babesiosis), *Anaplasma marginale* (anaplasmosis) and the severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus. Increasing resistance to acaricides, including multi-acaricide resistance, complicates its control. *R. microplus* is closely related to *R. australis*, which was once considered to be the same species but has some small anatomical differences and occurs in different regions. Both ticks are members of the *R. microplus* complex, which also contains another related tick, *R. annulatus* (described in a separate factsheet).

Like *R. microplus*, *R. annulatus* is an important biological vector for *B. bigemina* and *B. bovis*. Control programs for these two ticks, conducted between 1906 and 1943, were key to eliminating babesiosis (also called "cattle fever") from the United States. Before its eradication, this disease cost the U.S. an estimated \$130.5 million in direct and indirect annual economic losses; in current dollars, the equivalent would be \$3 billion. *R. microplus* and *R. annulatus* still exist in Mexico and South America, and a permanent quarantine zone, with continued surveillance and special regulations for livestock, is maintained along the Mexican border to prevent their reintroduction into the U.S. However, wildlife hosts of *R. microplus*, as well as both legal and illegal importation of cattle, complicate this control program. Similarly, Australia maintains a quarantine zone to contain *R. australis* in the northeastern locations where it is currently endemic.

Species Affected

R. microplus mainly infests cattle and related bovids such as banteng (*Bos javanicus*), gaur (*B. frontalis*), kouprey (*B. sauveli*), and water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*), but it can also be found on horses, donkeys, goats, sheep, camels, pigs, dogs and some free-living or captive wild mammals including cervids (e.g., white-tailed deer, *Odocoileus virginianus*; red deer, *Cervus elaphus*) and nilgai (*Bosephalus tragocamelus*). Although it was thought that other species are infested only when cattle or other bovids are present, laboratory experiments suggest that ticks can sometimes mature on some other animals (e.g., goats, rabbits, sheep) with varying efficiency; and white-tailed deer in North America and goats in Africa appear to act as maintenance hosts in the absence of cattle.

Geographic Distribution

R. microplus is widespread in subtropical and tropical regions. This tick is endemic in Mexico, parts of Asia, Africa and South and Central America, and various islands (e.g., Madagascar, Comoro Islands, Mascarene Islands, French Polynesia, Guam, Solomon Islands, the Caribbean). It has been eradicated from most of the U.S., but still occurs in a buffer quarantine zone in California and Texas, along the Mexican border, with occasional incursions beyond this zone.

R. australis is endemic in northeastern Australia, New Caledonia and Indonesia, and might also occur in other locations. In Australia, these ticks are contained within a limited geographic area by a quarantine zone on the border between Queensland and New South Wales.

Life Cycle

Ticks in the subgenus *Boophilus* can complete their life cycle in 3-4 weeks, which can result in a heavy tick burden. *R. microplus* is a one-host tick: once the larva hatches and finds a host, all of its life stages are usually spent on that animal.

Female *R. microplus* typically deposit their eggs in crevices or debris, or under stones. Once the larvae hatch, they crawl up grass or other plants to find a host. They may also be blown by the wind. The larvae can survive for as long as 3 to 4 months

without feeding in summer, and up to 6 months in cooler temperatures. Thus, a tick may spend up to 80% of its life as a questing larva. Ticks that do not find a host eventually die of starvation.

Newly attached larvae (also called 'seed ticks') are usually found on the softer skin inside the thigh, flanks, and forelegs. They may also occur on the abdomen and brisket. Each developmental stage (larva, nymph and adult) feeds only once, over a period of several days. Larvae and nymphs molt to the next stage after feeding, while remaining on the same animal. Adult male ticks become sexually mature after feeding and mate with feeding females. An adult female tick that has fed and mated detaches from the host and deposits a single batch of many eggs in the environment, then dies after ovipositing.

Identification

R. microplus complex ticks belong to the family Ixodidae (hard ticks). Hard ticks have a dorsal shield (scutum) and their mouthparts (capitulum) protrude forward when they are seen from above. Members of the subgenus *Boophilus* have a hexagonal basis capitulum. The spiracular plate is rounded or oval and the palps are very short, compressed, and ridged dorsally and laterally. Males have adanal shields and accessory shields. The anal groove is absent or indistinct in females, and faint in males. There are no festoons or ornamentation.

R. microplus adults have a short, straight capitulum. The legs are pale cream and there is a wide space between first pair of legs and the snout. The body is oval to rectangular and the shield is oval and wider at the front. The snout is short and straight. Nymphs of this species have a body that is oval and wider at front, brown to blue-gray, with white at the front and sides, and an orange-brown scutum. The larvae have a short, straight capitulum, a brown to cream body and six legs.

R. australis differs from *R. microplus* in some features including smaller larvae with a narrow dorsal scutum, the presence of ventro-medial spurs in palpal segments in adult males, and abundant, plumose, pale white setae on the dorsum of adult females (compared to smaller, slim dorsal setae in *M. microplus* females). The median alloscutal setae are arranged in clusters of 4-6 rows in *R. australis* and clusters of 2-3 rows in *B. microplus*; however, this difference may not be visible in engorged female ticks.

Control

Disease reporting

Veterinarians who encounter or suspect the presence of an exotic tick should follow their national and/or local guidelines for disease reporting. In the U.S., state or federal authorities must be notified immediately.

Prevention

Measures used to exclude exotic ticks from a country include pre-export inspections to certify that animals are free of ectoparasites, quarantines upon entry, and treatment with acaricides. During the first half of the 20th century, *R. microplus* and the closely related tick *R. annulatus* were eradicated from most of the U.S. in order to eliminate bovine babesiosis. This program, which included acaricide treatment of both cattle and equids, was facilitated by these ticks' one-host life cycle and preference for feeding on cattle. It required considerable resources and time, and was complicated in Florida by the presence of white-tailed deer as alternate tick hosts.

Currently, tick incursions from Mexico are controlled by USDA APHIS Fever Tick Eradication Program personnel, including mounted inspectors called "tick riders." Tick riders patrol the Rio Grande River, inspect ranches in the quarantine zone, and apprehend stray and smuggled livestock from Mexico. If a ranch in this zone becomes infested, it is placed under a 6-9 month quarantine and the animals are treated with acaricides. Before being moved from the quarantine zone, all cattle and horses must be inspected and given a precautionary acaricide treatment. Due to the occurrence of ticks on white-tailed deer and possibly feral nilgai, control programs also incorporate ivermectinbased feed and acaricide treatments for wildlife. Similarly, a quarantine zone in Australia helps confine *R. australis* to the northeastern parts of the country.

Acaricides are used in endemic regions to eliminate *R. microplus* from an animal, but, without additional measures, they do not prevent reinfestation. Use of these agents can lead to the development of acaricide resistance in ticks, and may also have other adverse environmental effects, including incidental effects on other arthropods. Acaricide resistance is common in *R. microplus*, and resistance to multiple acaricides has been seen in some populations of this tick. Resistance to amitraz was reported to persist on one property where it had not been used for 15 years.

Other control measures in endemic regions include pasture rotation, environmental modification to make sites less attractive to ticks, physical removal of ticks from an animal, the use of relatively tick-resistant breeds of animals, and other strategies. Under the climatic conditions in the U.S. tick quarantine zone, an infested pasture must remain free of all livestock for 6 to 9 months or longer to break the tick life cycle. Different periods may be required in other areas. For example, a study in Argentina found that 12-17 weeks was required to reduce tick numbers in spring or early summer, and 20-28 weeks in summer, fall or winter.

European (*Bos taurus*) breeds of cattle usually remain fairly susceptible to ixodid ticks, even after multiple exposures. However, some cattle breeds such as Zebu (*Bos indicus*) and some Zebu crosses generally become resistant to *B. microplus* after exposure. This resistance is most prominent against larvae, which are unsuccessful in their attempts to feed and soon die. Vaccines based on this observation have been developed, but the first two approved vaccines had variable efficacy against different tick populations and required frequent boosters, and were

commercially unsuccessful. One is no longer made and the other has limited availability. Other vaccines are under investigation.

Public Health

R. microplus can feed on people and is a vector for the severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus, which affects humans in Asia.

Internet Resources

Hard Ticks from the University of Edinburgh (photographs)

Queensland Government. Cattle Tick

<u>United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Animal</u> and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)

<u>USDA APHIS. Vector-borne diseases (includes information on cattle ticks)</u>

<u>University of Bristol. Tick Identification Key</u> (for ticks of veterinary importance).

World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH)

WOAH Terrestrial Animal Health Code

Acknowledgements

This factsheet was written by Anna Rovid Spickler, DVM, PhD, Veterinary Specialist from the Center for Food Security and Public Health. The U.S. Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA APHIS) provided funding for this factsheet through a series of cooperative agreements related to the development of resources for initial accreditation training.

The following format can be used to cite this factsheet. Spickler, Anna Rovid. 2022. *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*. Retrieved from <u>http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/</u> DiseaseInfo/factsheets.php.

References

- Ali A, Parisi LF, Ferreira BR, da Silva Vaz I. A revision of two distinct species of *Rhipicephalus*: *R. microplus* and *R. australis*. Cienc Rural. 2016;46:1240-8.
- Barré N, Uilenberg G. Spread of parasites transported with their hosts: case study of two species of cattle tick. Rev Sci Tech. 2010;29(1):149-60, 135-47.
- Busch JD, Stone NE, Nottingham R, Araya-Anchetta A, Lewis J, et al. Widespread movement of invasive cattle fever ticks (*Rhipicephalus microplus*) in southern Texas leads to shared local infestations on cattle and deer. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:188.
- Cavalcante ASA, Ferreira LL, Couto LFM, Zapa DMB, Heller LM, Nicaretta JE, Cruvinel LB, Junior RDM, Soares VE, de Souza GRL, Monteiro CMO, Lopes WDZ. An update on amitraz efficacy against *Rhipicephalus microplus* after 15 years of disuse. Parasitol Res. 2021;120(3):1103-8.

- Corrêa Fdo N, da Cunha NC, Rangel CP, da Fonseca AH. Ticks on buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) in the state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Rev Bras Parasitol Vet. 2012;21(3):313-4.
- Corwin RM, Nahm J. *Boophilus* spp [online]. University of Missouri, College of Veterinary Medicine; 1997. Available at: http://www.parasitology.org/Arthropods/Arachnida/Boophilus.ht m.* Accessed 2001 Nov 29.
- Currie CR, Hewitt DG, Ortega-S JA, Schuster GL, Campbell TA, Lohmeyer KH, Wester DB, de León AP. Efficacy of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) treatment for cattle fever ticks in southern Texas, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2020;56(3):588-96.
- Cutullé C, Jonsson NN, Seddon J. Population structure of Australian isolates of the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*. Vet Parasitol. 2009;161(3-4):283-91.
- Estrada-Pena A, Bouattour A, Camicas JL, Guglielmone A, Horak I, Jongejan F, Latif A, Pegram R, Walker AR. The known distribution and ecological preferences of the tick subgenus *Boophilus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Africa and Latin America. Exp Appl Acarol. 2006;38:219-35.
- Estrada-Peña A, Venzal JM, Nava S, Mangold A, Guglielmone AA, Labruna MB, de la Fuente J. Reinstatement of *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *australis* (Acari: Ixodidae) with redescription of the adult and larval stages. J Med Entomol. 2012;49(4):794-802.

Figueiredo LT, Badra SJ, Pereira LE, Szabo MP. Report on ticks collected in the Southeast and Mid-West regions of Brazil: analyzing the potential transmission of tick-borne pathogens to man. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 1999;32:613-9.

- Foley AM, Goolsby JA, Ortega-S A Jr, Ortega-S JA, Pérez de León A, Singh NK, Schwartz A, Ellis D, Hewitt DG, Campbell TA. Movement patterns of nilgai antelope in South Texas: Implications for cattle fever tick management. Prev Vet Med. 2017;146:166-72.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [FAO]. Boophilus [online]. FAO; 1998. Available at: http://www.fao.org/WAICENT/faoInfo/Agricult/AGA/AGAH/P D/pages/ticksp4.htm.* Accessed 15 Feb 2007.
- Horak IG, Camicas JL, Keirans JE. The Argasidae, Ixodidae and Nuttalliellidae (Acari: Ixodida): a world list of valid tick names. Exp Appl Acarol. 2002;28:27-54.
- Hüe T, Fontfreyde C. Development of a new approach of pasture management to control *Rhipicephalus microplus* infestation. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2019;51(7):1989-95.
- Hüe T, Fontfreyde C, Wang HH, Grant WE, Teel PD, de León AAP. Optimizing long-acting acaricide use for integrated tick management of *Rhipicephalus australis*-infesting cattle in New Caledonia. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2021;53(3):384.
- Jongejan F, Berger L, Busser S, Deetman I, Jochems M, Leenders T, de Sitter B, van der Steen F, Wentzel J, Stoltsz H. Amblyomma hebraeum is the predominant tick species on goats in the Mnisi Community Area of Mpumalanga Province South Africa and is co-infected with Ehrlichia ruminantium and Rickettsia africae. Parasit Vectors. 2020;13(1):172.
- Jonsson NN, Piper EK, Constantinoiu CC. Host resistance in cattle to infestation with the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus microplus*. Parasite Immunol. 2014;36(11):553-9.
- Kahn CM, Line S, editors. The Merck veterinary manual [online]. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck and Co; 2003. *Boophilus* spp. Available at: http://www.merckvetmanual.com/mvm/index.jsp?cfile=htm/b

http://www.merckvetmanual.com/mvm/index.jsp?cfile=htm/b c/72108.htm.* Accessed 15 Feb 2007.

Kahn CM, Line S, editors. The Merck veterinary manual [online]. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck and Co; 2003. Ticks: Introduction. Available at: http://www.merckvetmanual.com/mvm/index.jsp?cfile=htm/b c/72100.htm.* Accessed 15 Feb 2007.

Kahn CM, Line S, editors. The Merck veterinary manual [online]. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck and Co; 2003. Tick control. Available at: http://www.merckvetmanual.com/mvm/index.jsp?cfile=htm/b c/72120.htm.* Accessed 15 Feb 2007.

Kamani J, Apanaskevich DA, Gutiérrez R, Nachum-Biala Y, Baneth G, Harrus S. Morphological and molecular identification of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* in Nigeria, West Africa: a threat to livestock health. Exp Appl Acarol. 2017;73(2):283-96.

Labruna MB, Naranjo V, Mangold AJ, Thompson C, Estrada-Peña A, Guglielmone AA, Jongejan F, de la Fuente J. Allopatric speciation in ticks: genetic and reproductive divergence between geographic strains of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*. BMC Evol Biol. 2009;9:46.

Leal B, Thomas DB, Dearth RK. Population dynamics of off-host *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) larvae in response to habitat and seasonality in South Texas. Vet Sci. 2018;5(2):33.

Lohmeyer KH, May MA, Thomas DB, Pérez de León AA. Implication of nilgai antelope (Artiodactyla: Bovidae) in reinfestations of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in South Texas: a review and update. J Med Entomol. 2018;55(3):515-22.

Ma M, Chen Z, Liu A, Ren Q, Liu J, Liu Z, Li Y, Yin H, Guan G, Luo J. Biological parameters of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) fed on rabbits, sheep, and cattle. Korean J Parasitol. 2016;54(3):301-5.

Madder M, Adehan S, De Deken R, Adehan R, Lokossou R. New foci of *Rhipicephalus microplus* in West Africa. Exp Appl Acarol. 2012;56(4):385-90.

Muhanguzi D, Byaruhanga J, Amanyire W, Ndekezi C, Ochwo S, Nkamwesiga J, Mwiine FN, Tweyongyere R, Fourie J, Madder M, Schetters T, Horak I, Juleff N, Jongejan F. Invasive cattle ticks in East Africa: morphological and molecular confirmation of the presence of *Rhipicephalus microplus* in south-eastern Uganda. Parasit Vectors. 2020;13(1):165.

Nava S, Rossner MV, Torrents J, Morel N, Martinez NC, Mangold AJ, Guglielmone AA. Management strategies to minimize the use of synthetic chemical acaricides in the control of the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Canestrini, 1888) in an area highly favourable for its development in Argentina. Med Vet Entomol. 2020;34(3):264-78.

Nazim K, Godara R, Katoch R, Sofi OM, Yadav A, Singh NK. Status of ivermectin resistance in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) populations from north-western Himalayas, India. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2022;13(4):101964.

New South Wales Department of Agriculture. Identification of the paralysis tick *I. holocyclus* and related ticks. New South Wales Department of Agriculture; 2001 Feb. Available at: http://members.ozemail.com.au/~norbertf/identification.htm.*Acc essed 29 Nov 2001. New South Wales Department of Agriculture. Larval stages of the paralysis tick *Ixodes holocyclus*, the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* and the bush tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*.. New South Wales Department of Agriculture; 2001 Feb. Available at: http://members.ozemail.com.au/~norbertf/larvae.htm.* Accessed 29 Nov 2001.

New South Wales Department of Agriculture. Nymphal stages of the paralysis tick *Ixodes holocyclus*, the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* and the bush tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*.. New South Wales Department of Agriculture; 2001 Feb. Available at: http://members.ozemail.com.au/~norbertf/nymphs.htm.* Accessed 29 Nov 2001.

Nyangiwe N, Horak IG. Goats as alternative hosts of cattle ticks. Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 2007;74(1):1-7.

Osbrink WLA, Showler AT, Abrigo V, Pérez de León AA. *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Ixodida: Ixodidae) Larvae collected from vegetation in the coastal wildlife corridor of southern Texas and research solutions for integrated eradication. J Med Entomol. 2020;57(4):1305-9.

Pelzel AM (APHIS Area Epidemiology Officer). Cattle fever tick surveillance in Texas. NAHSS Outlook [online]. USDA APHIS; 2005 Aug. Available at: http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/ceah/ncahs/nsu/outlook/issue7/cattl e_fever_tick_surveillance.pdf.* Accessed 15 Feb 2007.

Pound JM, George JE, Kammlah DM, Lohmeyer KH, Davey RB. Evidence for role of white-tailed deer (Artiodactyla: Cervidae) in epizootiology of cattle ticks and southern cattle ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) in reinfestations along the Texas/Mexico border in south Texas: a review and update. J Econ Entomol. 2010;103(2):211-8.

Rajput ZI, Hu SH, Chen WJ, Arijo AG, Xiao CW Importance of ticks and their chemical and immunological control in livestock. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B. 2006;7:912-21.

Rodriguez-Vivas RI, España ER, Blanco IL, Ojeda-Chi MM, Trinidad-Martinez I, Islas JAT, Bhushan C. Monitoring the resistance of *Rhipicephalus microplus* to amitraz, flumethrin, coumaphos, and ivermectin on cattle farms in Mexico. Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Reports. 2021;26:100644.

Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Ojeda-Chi MM, Rosado-Aguilar JA, Trinidad-Martínez IC, Torres-Acosta JF, Ticante-Perez V, Castro-Marín JM, Tapia-Moo CA, Vázquez-Gómez G. Red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) as a host for the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Yucatan, Mexico. Exp Appl Acarol. 2013;60(4):543-52.

Scoles GA, Hussein HE, Olds CL, Mason KL, Davis SK. Vaccination of cattle with synthetic peptides corresponding to predicted extracellular domains of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* aquaporin 2 reduced the number of ticks feeding to repletion. Parasit Vectors. 2022;15(1):49.

Seo JW, Kim D, Yun N, Kim DM. Clinical update of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. Viruses. 2021;13(7):1213.

Showler AT, Pérez de León A.Landscape Ecology of *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* (Ixodida: Ixodidae) Outbreaks in the South Texas coastal plain wildlife corridor including man-made barriers. Environ Entomol. 2020;49(3):546-52.

Torrents J, Sarli M, Sarmiento NF, Rossner MV, Morel N, Guglielmone AA, Nava S. Resistance of the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* to fluazuron in Argentina. Exp Appl Acarol. 2022;86(4):599-606.

- United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service [APHIS]. Controlling cattle fever ticks [online]. USDA APHIS; 2002 Feb . Available at: http://www.aphis.usda.gov/lpa/pubs/fsheet_faq_notice/fs_ahcfeve r.html.* Accessed 15 Feb 2007.
- Vilela VLR, Feitosa TF, Bezerra RA, Klafke GM, Riet-Correa F. Multiple acaricide-resistant *Rhipicephalus microplus* in the semi-arid region of Paraíba State, Brazil. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2020;11(4):101413.
- Wang HH, Grant WE, Teel PD, Lohmeyer KH, A Pérez de León A. Enhanced biosurveillance of high-consequence invasive pests: southern cattle fever ticks, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, on livestock and wildlife. Parasit Vectors. 2020;13(1):487.

* Link is defunct